G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1917.
(Mus.)

Later references.

File No. 22-1917

Serial Nos. 1-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 4

Part B for May 19.

SUBJECT.

Archakship of Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Kulur in the Bapatla taluk

---

To, the D.C. Kolar No. 3438 dt 7.5.19

Allows the appeal, reverses the order of the D.C. and remands the case with a view to its being enquired into and disposed of in accordance with law ~~No 31/39~~

To, Advocates ~~for~~ appellant and respondents No. 3439 & 3440

7 Copies of the above order

V. Rappanna
6th May 19

G. R. S. III—1]

1917.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 22/1917

FILE HEADING.

Archakship of Sri Anjaneya temple at Sulur in the Bangalore D

From

Subramiah Son of Narasimha, Sulur.

~~No~~ Petition Dated 2.7.1917 Received

Diary No. 41	Enclos. 2 Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeal against the Dy. Commr's order rejecting the apltt's claim to the Puja hereditary of the Sulur temple on the grounds that the hereditary has been disposed of by [illegible]

NOTES AND ORDERS.

A

The appeal petition is duly stamped and the appeal is in time. It may be admitted.

V

Muz. F. no. 165 – 1905 containing G.O. no. 5197-8 Dy 6th May 1907 is put up. The dispute then related to the ~~[illegible]~~ resumption from Sanitham

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1232—GPB—20,000—18-11-16

WD 1233—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191

Serial No.

of a portion of the Inam land for restoration to the Anjani Subba Jois.

The present applt. Subramiah says that his father Narasimha Jois was the elder brother of the respondent Archak Subba Jois, that at the time of the Govt. order of 1907, his father was dead and he (the applt.) was a minor under the protection of the Archak Subba Jois, and prays that his claim to the Archakship may be recognized. The local authorities including the Dy. Commr. have rejected his claim on the ground that the matter has already been disposed of in 1907.

The subject-matter of the present appeal is different from the one dealt with and disposed of in 1907. May the Dy. Commr. be asked to submit a report on the petition?

Submitted for orders.

M (9L) 29.8.17

amm 30/8/17 Secy

A report may be called for

30/8/17

Yr. Ser 3/9/17

49

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S

G. R. S. III-2]

763

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 22 of 1917.

Serial No.

Submitted with offs-forward
Appe.
28/7.9

LIV

NW(RA) 5.9.17 ann 7.9.17

2 No: 668 Dy 13.9.17, [illegible]
Kolar, [illegible]
report with [illegible].
No: 669 Dy [illegible]
[illegible]

3 From, the Dy Commr, Kolar Distt,
Letter no. 266 Dy 29-10-1918.
States that the point at issue is the right for Archakship of the temple which does not appear to have been enquired into and decision given as alleged by the apltt, and that the enquiry will have now to be held under sections 32 and 33 of the Inam Regn.
Submitted for orders.
11-11-1918
11.11.18

Hon. A.)
Hon. V.)
Hon. B.)
H.D.)

The appellant's contention that the subject-matter of the appeal was not adjudicated in 1907, as stated in the Dy Comr's order, is correct. - In St. no 3, the Dy Comr says that the question

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1233-GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mur File No. 22 of 1917.

Serial No.

has to be gone into now. — An order may be passed returning the case for disposal in accordance with law.

Secy.

16/4/18.

The Dr. Commr's new appeal against has to be formally set-aside and the case remanded. A notice and hearing of the parties seems the necessary. Include the case in the next-batch and post for hearing

16/4/18

O.V.

Posted to 21-2-1919

Submit with Dft. notices.

9.1.1919 9.1.19

Approved

"19

AV

At the request of both the parties this appeal is adjd to 11th April 1919.

21-2-19

Dft. notices submitted 11.3.19 app. 4/3/19.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Case No 25 of 1917 vs
Archakship of Sulur Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple.

Facts: In 1907, the temple land in the possession of a daughter of the Archak family, was ordered to be given to the actual officiator Subba Jois (respt) - Aplt is respts' nephew and was then a minor under respts' protection. He wants the office from the respt as the representative of the elder line - The Dy Commnr decided in 1917 that the matter was res judicata in 1907, respt being recognized. aplt says his rights were not enquired into then.

Point:- Is Dy Commr's view correct?

[The Dy Commnr in his letter D/26-10-1918 says that the matter has to be decided now after enquiry. His order has to be formally set aside and case remanded -

KS
1921

MD 1233—GPB—60 CCC—1 12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Miscy File No. 22 of 191 .

Serial No.

Order.

The order of the DJ Law appealed against proceeds on the ground that the matter now in dispute has been finally disposed of by the Privy Council in their order No. 5757-D dt. 6th May 1901. This, however, is a mistake. The Privy Council was passed upon a dispute which the respondent and Sarvadhikarana with regard to the appointment of a certain piece of land attached to the Sri Anjaneyaswamy Temple; it has no reference to the right of worship that is now in dispute, nor was the present appellant a party to those proceedings.

The ~~order~~ appeal is therefore allowed, the order of the DJ Law reversed, & the ~~matter~~ case remanded for trial with a view to its being inquired into & disposed of in accordance with law.

12/4/19

12/4/19

Submit with a draft order.

19.4.19 19.4.19

Seen

21/4/19.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc File No. 22 of 191 7.

Serial No.	
4.	No. 34389 7-5-19 20 S. Kolar Allows the appeal, quashes the order of the D.C. [illegible] the case with a view to its being enquired into & decided in accordance with law No 34 39 & 34 40 Copy to advocates for appellants [illegible] Respondents

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-8-18—S

R. C. 27

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸೀಮೆಯ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ
ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ.

ನಂ App ... ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ತಾ॥ 10-5-1917

ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ನಂಬರ್ App 3087/1916-17

ತಾರೀಖು 16-4-1917

ವಿಷಯ :—

ನಡಗಾಲ ಮಠದ ಶ್ರೀ ಈಂಜ
ನೆಯ್ಯಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ
ಅಚಾರ ನಾಪ್ಪರ್ತಿಂದು ವಿಚಾ
ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿರುವಂ
ದ ಅರ್ಜಿಲು.

ರೀಜಿಲಾರ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟು ಬೌಗಾಲೆಲ್ಲಿ
ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಗುಂಡ್ಲುಪೇಟೆ
ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ
ಬೀಡಿನವರಿಂದ ಬರೆಯಿಸಿದ ಗೆ ಇಂಡಾಸ್ಸು

ಇರೇಬಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನೂ ಮತ್ತು ಈ
ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ——— ರಿಂದ
~~ಬಕ್ಕಪೂರ್ವಿಯೆಂದು ಬಾರ್ಡ್~~ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು

ಇದು ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ವಿಷಯಂದು
ಮಾಡ್ಬೇಂದ ಅಂಯಿಲಾ ಬಳಿಗಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ
ಅಚಾರಂದು ನ್ನ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿ
ರೊಳ್ಳ ತಕ್ಕದ್ದಿದೆ.

M. G. Krishnaswamy Ry
For Revenue Commissioner.

BR

MYSORE COURT FEE

EIGHT ANNAS

18

S.d. S. Narayanrao
For Deputy Commissioner
Kolar Dist

28/2/17

10-4-17

5-5-17

10-6-17

2.30

0-4-6

0-04

Compared by

10/4/17

COURT FEE — EIGHT ANNAS

COURT FEE — EIGHT ANNAS

COURT FEE — EIGHT ANNAS

COURT FEE — EIGHT ANNAS

[illegible]

[illegible] 1778

22-17

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

దిని ఇండారసు కొట్టుకుపుచ్చ్ నాన్సియుపాంశల్లా - - - -

3 వాదియుకంటే / నేరుగ నసాంశియూ ప్రతివాది ఇనేరుగ నసాంశియూ
ఇరువద్దరిందా పూ జేయుడు పరక్షప్రతివాది నేరుగదిల్లా

4 యి కాక్షనిమాండు పరక్షేరక్షిదాంశ నాదనాంశియు వ్రాసిన
ఆ నిదద్దసు ఆ కాలదల్లా నందే బంధువాంశియూ ప్రతి
వాదియస్మిక్షంరక్షణ నేమాండు పక్ష నాదద్దసాంశియూ ఇ
రువద్దరిందా ప్రతివాది పూ జేయుడు కాగా దిసు ఈదా

ఖతాయిందా ప్రతివాదిగే మాంపరక్షబరువ
5 వాదియు 14-10-76 రల్లు కొంటు అ జిల్లా రుల్లబరదు
ఇరువపక్షయుగళు డిక్రీ సిప్పణాదేబరవరు కక్షి
చిత్రదల్లపరాంబరిశి ఆ పక్షయుగళు విచారణ యిందా
వ్రాసలు మాండదిదాల్లా కు అమలుదారరగళు
ప్రతివాది మేలే కుంబాల్ప మాంపణ్ణుట్టరాం
డు బరదు ఇరువరిగే నేంబి ఆదరంకే
నవగే ఇండారసు కొండుబేకేందు వ్రాసలు మాంతిరు
పదుమంబాల్ప్రాప్తియాంగిదే - - - - - -

6 యి దేవస్థానద ఇనాం తిరువిసు గళల్లి బగరుగళు
దారభాంధవింకమాగింబుద అధర్మవిసిదు అనుభవిసు
త్తా ఇదేగళు ఆకేలసు అనుభవిసువదక్కే రుగళు అల్లి
వెసిసు రవగే కొండిసుబేకేందు వ్రాసుదదాంతు
కేళిందా మాంప్రతివాదిగళు రియూందుదాం
లు మాంతిద్దసు ఆ విచారణల్లి నిరూపిసుంబుదల్లదే
బరిగి కింతమాగింబుద అధర్మవిసిదు అనుభవిసు
వదక్కే రుగళు ఇరువరిల్లా పూరాంధక్కు ఖాసిసు ప్రతివాది.
గే కొంతిసు బేకేందు / 1907 ఇదరంతుల్లి వ్రాసలు అల్లి
యి వ్రాసలన్నుబేడే బరిగి వ్రాసలాగిరుత్తేంబు.
రు అల్లా కు అమలుదారరిగే కొడుత్తిరువదు. ఈగే

కాగా వచ్చు అపీసాంపత్తికి నిరమిగా కొంత పెట్టి [illegible] దాంబలాం దపిరయాం
దనపిల్లతి విచారణకు పెట్టు ప్రయత్నాలు ఆగదేన్నాంతి చివిసు విచారణల్లో
పిరయాంచుబందు ప్రయత్నం ఆగిరువద్వరిందా తగ్రాంబతి అపీ
సాంపత్తికి సంబంధ వాంద పిరయాంబిసి ఆగ్రాంరాంపడ్డదలాగా

7 చేతాంగ్పదవాంద అపీసాంపత్తికి కేలసు [illegible] వాంది యుతాం
రశంపివచోంశోరు మూలపురుష సాంగిగా [illegible] పదరిసం
పేరచోంశోరి సేవాంది యుతండేన రశంధ [illegible] నే
ళుగ సాంగియు ప్రతివాంది పుబ్బాచోంశోరు [illegible]
తప్పేప్పేతనేల్లుగ సాంగ సాంప్ప 4 నేల్లుగ [illegible] తి నేల్లుగ సాంద
వాంది యుతండేన రశంధ్రచోంశోరు వాంది బాలరసాంగిధా
గ పృతపట్టి ఇదాంబరి [illegible] కేల్ల సంతతియు
సాంద వాంది యుదక్కుదాంరసాంగిధా [illegible] పేలుసిల్లగపు దక్కుదాం
రసంపివచోంశోరు తల్లిగిల్లుంపి [illegible] పేలుగుల్లుత్రి [illegible]
కొందలు సిందలు [illegible] ఇదుసు [illegible]
రపవశిల్లదలాంగాయిరు సన్నతండేన రశంధ్రచోంశోరు
పుంచేల [illegible] ఇదుల్లు ఇరుపవశిల్లదసంతేరవాంది
పేనవాంద పారగా ప్రతివాంది పుబ్బాచోంశోరు [illegible]
దుతాంగ ఇదుసు సాంపగళు సంప్రతేతు యుంబదల్లి [illegible]
వరివిగి ఇల్లిగి వేల్లిద్వరిందా ఈదక్కు వాదిగేసల్ల బేక్రాం
రుశేరి.

8 వాంది యుప్రతివాంది మోలిగిందె పిరయాంబు దాంబలు మూ
డక్షిపైనతీలు గదేగిరువదరిందలూ మూలపురుష [illegible]
వాంది యు చేల్లసంతతి
గేచేల్లదవసాంద్వరిందలూ అపీసాంపత్తికి కేలసల్లదక్కుదాం
రసాంగిరువదరిందలూ సాంపగళు సంప్రతేతు యుంబదల్లిరువదరిం
దలూ తగ్రాంతరిల్లగ సాంగియు [illegible] సాంగిరువద్వరిందలూ 19-10-16
15-4-1946 రల్లు [illegible] రపిల్ల [illegible] 19-10
పలు అపీలు 19-10-16 రల్లు [illegible] రపిల్ల [illegible]

పరదున్నారంగా అనేకమంది అల్లా విషయములు పరాంబరశాస్త్రము వాం
శవిచారణ ~~అయిందామాం~~ ఉ చేస్తిత మీ పేర్కొనబడిన పరశురాం కోట్రిరువ
ఆ రడు వచ్చి సాంతి సాంతి అంటూ చేతులు ఆప్పగి ఆ గుడిలోనుండు
సన్నిధానానికి వెళ్లి నేమబాంధవి . . . . . .
శివసూత్రమీ పేర్కొనబడిన పరశురాం కోట్రిరువగ్గుండాంబు
ఇదరపాండాంధాంబరు మాంధిన్నధ్రేశ
చేస్తిరమీ పేర్కొనబడిన పరశురాంధ్రవర్తకాంశ ఇదరపాండా
ధాంబరు మాంధిరుశ్రేష్ఠ

సాక్షి అప్పయ్యగారు

తేది 2-7-17 శుక్ర

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 668 Enc 3 D, 13/9/917
Misc 22-17-2

The accompanying Petition Registered

No 41 dated 2.7.1917

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Subbaramaiah Son of Narasimha Jois of Sulur, Bagepalli Taluk, appealing against the Dy. Commr's order rejecting the appellant's claim to the Puja hak of Sri Anjaneya-Swami temple at the place,

is forwarded, with compliments together with its enclosures, to the Dy. Commr, Kolar Dist.

for report with records.

By Order,

(Dft) For joint Secretary.

BANGALORE, 7/9/17

191 .

P. T. O.

W D 882 -GPB—1,000—10 11-15

ನಂ 669 ದಿ 13. 9 1917

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ಬಾ ಹೆ ರಘ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ಹೋಬಳಿ

ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿ 2. 7. 1917

ಮರ್ತುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary

1917

28 SEP 1917

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2-7-17 [illegible] 669 13.9.17 [illegible]

1 [illegible] 18-10-16 [illegible] 639 24.3.17 [illegible]

(2 [illegible] 2-7-17 [illegible]

[illegible] 26.9.17

13

ಅಂಚೆಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ 2.7.17 ರಂದು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ
ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರಿಗೆ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಅ ಸೂರ್ಯಕಾಂತ

WD 0463—GPB—3,00,000—21-7-17—S.

763
18-19
Muzr
22-17
3.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

The Joint Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Dated 26th October 1918.

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No. 13692

Stating that the petitioner appeals for the right of Archik-ship of the temple and a fresh enquiry will be held under Sec: 32 and 33 of the Muzr: Regulation.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Kolar District,

Dated Kolar, the 26th October 191

From

B. VENKOBA RAO, Esquire., B.A.,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

G. ARAVAMUDIENGAR, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your Memo No.668/Muz.22-17-2 dated 13th September 1917, calling for a report on the petition of one Subbaramiah of Gulur, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, rejesting his claim to the Pujuri-ship of the Sri Anjaneya Temple in the Village, I have the honor to state that the point at -- issue is the right for Archakship of the Temple, which does not appear to have been enquired into and decision given as alleged by the appellant, and the enquiry will have now to be held under sections 32 and 33 of the Muzrai Regulation.

The entire file of vernacular papers are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

ಎರಡು TWO RUPEES ರೂಪಾಯಿ

Before the Court of Mysore ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

19 17 — 19 18 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ Mysore Mp ನಂಬರು 25

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ. | ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ಮ॥ ರಾ॥ MP Somanathara Rao ವರಿಗೆ.

Respondent ~~Applicant~~ ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮೆ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್‌ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೆ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ, ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್‌ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತೆವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲೂ ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 21-2-15 Bangalore

ರುಜು.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

MP Somanathara Rao

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

MP Somanathara Rao

ಈ ಫಾರಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ ಪ್ರಿಂಟಿಂಗ್ ವರ್ಕರ್ ಯಂ. ನಂಜುಂಡಪ್ಪನವರ್ಲಿ ದೊರೆಯುತ್ತೆ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

1917-18 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ ಅಪೀಲ ನಂಬರು. 25

~~ಅಪೀಲಿದಾರ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ.~~ ~~ಅಪೀಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪೋನೆಂಟ್.~~

ಸುಬ್ರಾಯಲು	VS.	ಸುಬ್ಬಾಚಾರಿ ಇತರರು

ಮಲಾಲ ಅಪ್ಪಯ್ಯಶೆಟ್ಟಿ, ಎಚ್. ರಂಗಂಗಾರ್ ಪಕ್ಕದವರು
ಮ|| ರಾ|| ~~ಅಪ್ಪಯ್ಯಶೆಟ್ಟಿ, ಹೆಚ್. ಗುಂಡಾಚಾರಿಯವರು~~ } ಕರ.

ಅಪೀಲ್ ಪಿಲಿಯಂ ಕೆಳಗೆ — ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಪ್ಪೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಏವಾದ ಜರಗಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕುಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹಾ ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗಾಗಿ ರೀಫಂಡ್ ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪನ್ನು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸುಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೊ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು

ರುಜು ಸುಬ್ರಾಯಲು ಯಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ
21-2-1919

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ

Advocate

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Advocates for Applt

ಈ ಫಾರಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ ೩೬೬ ನೆ ನಂಬರು ಷಾಪ್‌ಕೀಪರ್ (ಹೆಚ್. ಬಿ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಶೆಟ್ಟರಲ್ಲಿ) ದೊರೆಯುತ್ತೆ.

V. Sangha Press.

(G)

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

95/4

22-17-4 Muz

Appeal No. 25 of 1918-19

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent
Subbaramaiah, of Gulur, Bagepalli Taluk.		Subba Joi's of Gulur, Bagepalli Taluk.

Subject.

A Appeal against order No: 18 dt 28th Feby. 1919 of the Dy Commr., Kolar Dist., rejecting the appellant's claim to the Puja hak of Sri Anjaneya Swami temple at Gulur in the Bagepalli Taluk on the ground that the matter has already been disposed of by Govt. in the year 1907.

Decision The case was heard on 11th April 1919, the parties

represented by their Advocates.

The order of the Dy. Comr. appealed against proceeds upon the view that the matter now in dispute had been finally disposed of by Court in their order No. 5197-8 Dt 6th May 1907. This, however, is a mistake. The order quoted was passed upon a dispute between the respt and one Sanitramma with regard to the enjoyment of a certain inam land attached to the Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple; it had no reference to the right of worship that is now at issue, nor was the present apt a party to those proceedings.

The appeal is in the circumstances, allowed, the order of the Dy. Comr reversed, and the case remanded with a view to its being enquired into and disposed of

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

3438
Misc 22-17-II
Dt 7.5.19

in accordance with law.

Memo no Dt

Copy forwarded to the Dy. Commr, Kolar Dist, with the records received with his letter no. 266 Dt 25th October 1918.

B.O.
Sd/- Secretary,
22/4/19 19.4.19 Judl. Dept

3439 +
3440
Dt 7.5.19

Copy to (1) Mr. S. Rampanna Advocate for the appellant (2) Mr. M. P. Somasekhara Rao, Adve for the respondent.

B.O.
Sd/- Secretary,
22/4/19. 19.4.19 Judl. Dept